

# Memorandum

STAT

TO

[Redacted]

DATE: 23 June 1967

STAT

FROM

STAT  
STAT  
STAT

SUBJECT:

With regard to [Redacted] memo of 22 June, we have checked out the feasibility of riding on [Redacted] contract. If you will check Paragraph 6 of our request for consulting services (D-816-67) you will see that we cannot get [Redacted] through [Redacted] without transfer of funds. In Paragraph 7, we indicated that [Redacted] will come with us at the same rate ([Redacted] per day) that [Redacted] is paying him. [Redacted] does not want to get involved in a contract for [Redacted] service through them. This would be similar to someone contracting for the services of [Redacted] through us and would mean that [Redacted] would have to be responsible for certifying vouchers and other contractual reports. There is a great deal of difficulty and no advantage to going this route. We have been bouncing this request around since 25 April, and I suggest we move to get it approved as soon as possible.

STAT  
STAT  
STAT

STAT

STAT STAT

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

STAT

Declass Review by NIMA/DOD

**SECRET**NPIC/TDS/D-894-67  
20 June 1967

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director, NPIC

SUBJECT : Request for Consulting Services

1. As suggested by you and [redacted] the attached request for the consulting services of [redacted] as held in abeyance pending the outcome of the following developments:

25X1  
25X1

a. The interview on 15 June of [redacted], applicant for the position of human factors scientist with NPIC/TDS. Dr. [redacted] proved to be a strong candidate for the available position, with an especially promising competence in the area of experimental design, but he was the first to admit that his knowledge in the areas of visual perception and perceptual training could not approach that of [redacted] a leader in the psychological field; additionally, it was [redacted] position that the services of expert consultants such as [redacted] could only aid and complement him in the efficiency with which he would perform his in-house duties at NPIC.

25X1

b. The concurrence of [redacted], Chief, Assessment and Evaluation Staff, OMS, with TDS Technical personnel on the advisability of procuring the services of both [redacted] Dr. [redacted] agreed that the achievement and professional maturity levels of the two men could not be compared and that each would serve in his own distinct role, cooperating with the other as appropriate. Dr. [redacted] personnel and security processing is continuing under Dr. [redacted] jurisdiction.

25X1

25X1


2. The attached request is therefore now resubmitted for your approval with the hope that a swift decision will enable TDS to utilize [redacted] greatly needed insight and experience in the very near future.

25X1

[redacted]  
Colonel, USAF

25X1

Assistant for Technical Development, NPIC

**SECRET**

**SECRET**

SUBJECT: Request for Consulting Services

Attachments:

NPIC/D-102-67

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Addressee

1 - NPIC/O/A/TD

3 - NPIC/TDS/DS

NPIC/TDS/

[Redacted Box]

(20 June 67)

25X1

**SECRET**